## Weekplan: Predecessors

## Philip Bille

## References and Reading

- [1] Scribe notes from MIT
- [2] Introduction to Algorithms, 3rd edition, Chap. 20, T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, C. Stein, 2009
- [3] Log-Logarithmic Worst-Case Range Queries are Possible in Space  $\Theta(n)$ , Dan E. Willard, Inf. Process. Lett., 1983
- [4] Preserving Order in a Forest in less than Logarithmic Time, P. van Emde Boas, FOCS, 1975
- [5] Time-space trade-offs for predecessor search, M. Patrascu and M. Thorup, STOC 2006

We recommend reading [1], [2], and [3] in detail. [2] covers the vEB data structure and [3] covers x/y-fast tries.

## **Exercises**

- **1 Shortest Paths** Let *G* be a graph with *n* vertices and  $m \ge n$  weighted edges. The edge weights are from the set  $U = \{0, ..., u-1\}$  and u > m. Show how to compute the shortest path between two vertices in  $O(m \log \log u)$  expected time.
- **2** The Google Egg Interview Problem You are given 2 identical eggs and a 100-floor building. You want compute the highest floor from which an egg (identical to yours) can be dropped without breaking. Solve the following exercises.
- **2.1** How few drops can you do it with? You are allowed to break the 2 eggs in the process.
- **2.2** Give a bound on the number of drops for a building with x floors.
- **2.3** [\*] Show how to achieve a good bound (maybe roughly the same as in 2?) even when you do not know the number of floors in advance.
- 3 Dynamic Y-Fast Tries Solve the following exercises.
- **3.1** Show how to add insert and delete operation to the presented static solution for y-fast tries. Predecessor queries should take  $O(\log \log u)$  expected time and updates should take  $O(\log \log u)$  amortized expected time, i.e., any sequence of k updates should take  $O(k \log \log u)$  expected time. The space should be O(n).
- **3.2** A friend of yours is not happy with y-fast tries and want to make x-fast tries dynamic instead. He claims that he can maintain the x-fast trie data structure in the same time bounds as above. Prove or disprove his claim.
- **4 Z-Fast Tries** An fellow student suggest a modification of the y-fast trie which he proudly names the *z-fast trie*. The z-fast trie partitions S into groups of  $\log^6 u$  consecutive values (recall y-fast tries partitions into groups of  $\log u$  values). How does z-fast tries compare to y-fast tries?
- 5 van Emde Boas Bounds Show that  $T(u) = T(\sqrt{u}) + O(1) = O(\log \log u)$

- **6** Range Reporting Give a data structure for a set  $S \subseteq U = \{0, ..., u-1\}$  of n values that supports the following operation:
  - report(x, y): return all values in S between x and y, that is, the set of values  $\{z \mid z \in S, x \le z \le y\}$ .

The goal is a compact data structure with fast *output-sensitive* query bounds, that is, the query time should be on the form O(f(n,u) + occ), where occ is the number of elements returned by the query and f(n,u) is a fast as possible.

- 7 The Bomberman Problem Let A be a 2D array of size  $u \times u$ . We consider efficient data structures for placing and exploding bombs within A. Let  $b_{i,j}$  and  $b'_{i',j'}$  be two bombs at positions (i,j) and (i',j') in A and let t be an integer,  $1 \le t \le u$ . We define the bombs to be *connected with threshold t* if one of the following holds:
  - i = i' and  $|j j'| \le t$ ,
  - j = j' and  $|i i'| \le t$ , or
  - if there is a bomb  $b''_{i'',i''}$  such that both b and b' are connected with threshold t to b''.

We want to support the following operations on *A*:

- place(i, j): Place a bomb at position (i, j) in A.
- explode( $b_{i,j}$ , t): Remove all bombs connected with threshold t to  $b_{i,j}$ .

Given a data structure that supports the above operations efficiently.

- **8** List Jumping Let L be a list of n sorted integers in increasing order from the range  $U = \{0, \dots, u-1\}$ . We are interested in supporting successor queries on L when already have a pointer to some element within L. The time for successor should depend on the distance between the query and element we have a pointer to. Specifically, we want to support the following operation on L. Let e be an element of L and let x be an integer from U such that value of element e is smaller than x.
  - $\operatorname{succ}(x,e)$ : Return the predecessor of x

Solve the following exercises. Define d(x, e) to be the *number* of elements between e and x, i.e., the number of elements in E after e that are smaller than E. Define E0 to be the difference between the *value* of E1 and E2.

- **8.1** Show how to augment *L* with additional pointers to support  $\operatorname{succ}(x, e)$  in  $O(n \log n)$  space and  $O(\log d(x, e))$  time.
- **8.2** [\*] Improve the above bound. Give a data structure that supports succ(x, e) in O(n) space and  $O(\log d(x, e))$  time. *Hint*: start by building a complete binary tree on top of L. Connect nodes on the same level.
- **8.3** [\*\*] Give a compact data structure that supports succ(x, e) in  $O(\log \log D(x, e))$  time. Assume you can support successor queries for sets of size  $O(\log u)$  in constant time and linear space (such a data structure for this is a called a *fusion node* or *atomic heap*). *Hint:* Combine the idea from exercise 2 with *y*-fast tries.